## REMARKS

Claims 1-16, 18, and 27 are presently pending and stand rejected. Claims 17, and 19-26 were cancelled without prejudice. Reconsideration and continued examination in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 10 were "rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kono ... in view of Aharoni". Office Action at 2. It is believed that Examiner intended to make the foregoing rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). To the extent that Examiner intended to reject under § 102, Assignee respectfully traverses because the invention is not "described in - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States". 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). Confirmation by Examiner would be greatly appreciated.

Claim 1 was rejected by "Kono ... in view of Aharoni". indicated that Examiner "Kono fails to specifically disclose the use of a plurality of image buffers and a corresponding plurality of parameter buffers as in the claim. Aharoni disclose system for adaptive video/audio transport ... of compressed video files ... in order cater[s] to transmission and display requirements multiple clients with varying resources. Accordingly, given this teaching, [it] would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Kono system with multi-client platform of Aharoni and provide a plurality of buffers and associated parameter buffers of Kono with the various client service levels for

Aharoni in order to for greater distribution of decoded images of a heterogenous network".

Claim 1 is now amended to recite, among other limitations "a plurality of image buffers for storing the decoded images prior to display on the single display; a plurality of parameter buffers, wherein each plurality of parameters buffers corresponds to a particular one of the plurality of image buffers and is for storing the decoded parameters associated with the image stored in the corresponding one of the plurality of image buffers, prior to display of the image on the single display; and a display engine for receiving the decoded parameters from the parameter buffers and providing the decoded images for display on the single display using the decoded parameters stored in the parameter buffers."

respectfully submits that (1) Assignee now combination of Kono as modified by Aharoni does not teach the limitations of claim 1; and (2) Aharoni's teaching of a "multi-client platform" teaches away from claim 1 as now amended; and (3) one skilled in the art would not modify Kono in view of Aharoni's "multi-client platform" to arrive at the invention as claimed in claim 1, as amended to recite, "display on the single display". Additionally, with the amendments to claim 1, the instant application is now distinguishable from St. Regis Paper Co. because inclusion of "display on the single display", claim 1 is ports for a multiplied duplication of effect". Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests that Examiner withdraw the rejection to claims 1 and dependent claims 2-9.

Claim 10 was rejected from "Kono ... in view of Aharoni". Examiner has indicated that Kono discloses

"parameter buffers for storing the decoded parameters associated with the images (Kono: column 2, lines 55-62); and a display engine ... (Kono: column 3, lines 20-30).

Kono, Col. 3, Lines 20+ recites, "Fig. 3 is a diagram that shows a structure of the registers within the display control section 15". It appears as though Examiner reads the "display engine" onto "display control section 15". Kono, Col. 2, Lines 55+, recites, "The display control section 15 incorporates registers for storing parameters 24 of each layer decoded by the image decoding section 12 and a bank address 25." It appears as though Examiner reads the "parameter buffers" onto the "registers for storing parameters 24".

Assignee has amended claim 10 to recite, among other limitations, "said display engine separate from the parameter buffers" and respectfully submits that even if "display engine" reads on "display control section 15" and even if "parameter buffers" read onto the "registers for storing parameters 24", Kono in view Aharoni does not teach "said display engine separate from the parameter buffers" because Kono teaches that "The display control section 15 incorporates registers for storing parameters 24". Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests that Examiner withdraw the rejection to claims 10 and dependent claims 11-16, 18, and 27.

## Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee respectfully submits that each of the pending claims are in a condition for allowance, and Examiner is kindly requested to pass this case to issuance.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

July 1, 2008

Mirut Dalal Reg. No. 44,052 ATTORNEY FOR ASSIGNEE

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 West Madison - Suite 3400 Chicago IL 60661

Voice (312) 775-8000 FAX (312) 775-8100